The effect of vitamin D therapy on glycemic control and biochemical indices in type 2 diabetic patients: a randomized, clinical trial study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of vitamin D on glycemic control and biochemical indices in type 2 diabetes.

Methods: This randomized double blind placebo-controlled clinical trial was conducted on 80 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) referred to Shahid Beheshti hospital. These patients were randomly classified into case and control groups. Case group consumed 50,000 IU of vitamin D once a week for 12 weeks and control group placebo. Biochemical and lipid parameters and vitamin D3 were measured in two groups. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was assessed by latex enhance immunoturbidimetric assay.

Results: There was no significant difference between case and control groups in terms of age, sex, body mass index and used medications. The mean vitamin D level in case and control groups before intervention was 15.06 ±3.307 and 15.83± 2.509 ng/ml and after intervention was 49.77 ±15.73 and 14.91±3.13 ng/ml respectively. The mean fast blood sugar in case and control groups after intervention was 156.565±32.23 and 147.75±35.06 mg/dl, respectively. The mean HbA1c in case and control groups before intervention was 7.59± 0.39 % and 7.66± 0.38 % and after intervention was 7.26 ± 0.60 and 7.60 ± 0.38, respectively. Moreover, significant difference was seen between case (20.2± 5.74 IU/L) and control groups (23.35± 7.80 IU/L) in terms of alanine aminotransferase, after intervention.

Conclusion: According to these findings, vitamin D supplementation possibly through decreasing HbA1C and hepatic alanine aminotransferase could improve diabetes complications.
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Introduction
Diabetes mellitus is caused by a combination of insulin resistance and impaired insulin secretion by pancreatic B cells (Sheikhpour, 2012; Sheikhpour et al., 2010). The World Health Organization predicted that the prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2DM) will reach from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 (Sheikhpour et al., 2010). This prevalence varies in different countries. Moreover, the prevalence of diabetes in Iran was reported 8.7% (Esteghamati et al., 2010; Zaroudi et al., 2016). Approximately, 4.4 million of adults in Iran have impaired fasting glucose and 70% of them will develop diabetes (Esteghamati et al., 2008; Nathan et al., 2007). Genetic predisposition, unhealthy diet, modification of lifestyle, reduced physical activity and obesity (fond) are influential factors that affect T2DM (Zaroudi et al., 2016).

Recently, studies have shown the presence of vitamin D receptor and 1-α-hydroxylase in the pancreatic β cells of humans and animals (Infante et al., 2019). The active metabolite 1α, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (1, 25(OH)2D3) affects pancreatic β-cells (Infante et al., 2019), insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity (Infante et al., 2019; Ostoglou-Athanassiou et al., 2013). In this regard, the use of vitamin D3 supplementation can lead to normalization of carbohydrate, mineral and lipid metabolism and reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines, contributing treatment of endocrine diseases in T2DM (Komisarenko and Bobryk, 2018).

Vitamin D deficiency appears to be associated with reduced insulin release, enhanced insulin resistance (Lips et al., 2017, Sheikhpour et al., 2018) and increased risk for development of diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome (Pittas et al., 2012; Pittas et al., 2017; Mitri et al., 2011; Chagas et al., 2012; Lim et al., 2013); while the effect of vitamin D supplementation on insulin sensitivity or secretion of insulin was not observed in vitamin D-deficient status (Moussa et al., 2015). In addition, vitamin D enhances expression of lipoprotein lipase gene in adipose tissue and muscles. Activation of lipoprotein lipase affects lipoprotein particles and lipid profiles, contributing reduction of atherosclerosis (Jafari, 2016). Vitamin D supplementation may improve serum biomarkers of liver function (tavakoli et al., 2019). Furthermore, safety and tolerability of vitamin D3 even high-doses oral vitamin D3 (5000 IU/day) was reported in some studies (DeGiorgio et al., 2019; Sheikhpour et al., 2018).

Given that high prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency or deficiency in patients with T2DM (Mariam et al., 2019), high incidence of hyperlipidemia in these patients (Jafari, 2016; Sheikhpour, 2012) and few clinical trial studies regarding the effect of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic status and biochemical parameters in diabetic patients in our region, the aim of current study was to evaluate the effect of vitamin D therapy on glycemic control and biochemical indices in type 2 diabetic patients.

Materials and methods
Sample selection
This randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial study was conducted on 80 diabetic patients at the age range of 30-60 years referred to Shahid Beheshti hospital, Kashan, Iran, during 2019. Informed consent was obtained from all of the participants. Moreover, current study was approved by Kashan University of Medical Sciences with number IR.KAUMS.MEDNT.REC.1398.019. In addition, current study was registered in Iranian Registry of Clinical Trial with number IRCT 20190505043480N1:

Moreover, inclusion criteria were: diagnosis of diabetes over 2 years, definitive diagnosis of type 2 diabetes according to standard criteria, 7< glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c)< 8, fast blood sugar (FBS)< 200 mg/dl, use of oral hypoglycemic drugs, not taking vitamin D supplements or calcium or medications affecting vitamin D metabolism over the past 3 months, absence of chronic, renal and liver disease and other chronic illness, the level of vitamin D between 10 to 19.9 ng/ml and willingness to participate in the study.

The exclusion criteria were: FBS>200 mg/dl, HbA1C> 8, pregnancy, lactation and Malignancy. The number of patients according to power 85%, 95% confidence interval and minimum acceptable difference of 0.5% for HbA1c was assumed 40 in each group.

\[
N = \frac{2(Z_{1-\alpha} + Z_{1-\beta})^2 \times S^2}{D^2}
\]

Selecting of exclusion criteria
Then, patients with T2DM were randomly classified into two groups (case and placebo groups) by block randomization method. The patients were randomized 1:1.
according to the method of block randomization with a block size of 10. No stratification was used. The randomization procedure was performed by the researcher. The researcher team and participants remained blinded until the end of the study. Case group (intervention group) consumed 50,000 IU of vitamin D once a week for 12 weeks and other group placebo. Before and after taking vitamin D, blood samples were taken 8 hours after fasting.

Moreover, demographic and anthropometric characteristics of patients including age, gender, height, weight and duration of diabetes were extracted from medical record and entered to questionnaire.

Evaluation of biochemical and lipid profiles
After separating serum from blood, biochemical and lipid profiles including triglyceride (TG), low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL), cholesterol, creatinine, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase, FBS and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) were measured by Greiner Kit (Germany) according to Kit’s instructions (based on enzymatic method).

Evaluation of serum vitamin D
The 25(OH) vitamin D3 level were measured before and after taking vitamin D by ELISA method (Greiner kit, Germany) with sensitivity 5nmol/l and specificity 100%. Vitamin D level was classified according to the following categories: <10ng/ml, deficient; between 10-30 ng/ml, insufficient; between 30-100 ng/ml, sufficient; >100 ng/ml, potential intoxication.

Evaluation of HbA1c
For estimation of HbA1c, samples were collected in EDTA anti-coagulant and then assessed by latex enhance immunoturbidimetric assay (Greiner Kit, Germany).

Statistical analysis
All data were analyzed using the SPSS software (version 20.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Baseline characteristics were presented as mean±SD and frequency (%), The Kolmogorov test was used for determining normality of the parameters and Wilcoxon test and Mann-Whitney test were used for analysis of non-normal distribution variables within and between groups. Independent sample t-test and paired t-test were used for comparison between groups before and after supplementation and within groups for analysis of normal distribution variables. The efficacy analyses to explore the intervention

FIGURE 1. Consort flowchart of patients in Shahid Beheshti hospital, Kashan.
effect were based on intent-to-treat protocol, A two-sided P value, 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of vitamin D therapy on glycemic control and biochemical parameters on 80 patients with T2DM. Among these patients, 31 patients (38.8%) were male and 49 (61.2%) were female. No significant difference was seen between two groups, in terms of sex ($P=0.323$). The mean age of patients in case and control groups was 55.1±9.4 and 55.8±12.28 years, respectively ($P=0.72$). Body mass index (BMI) in two groups was 29.66±4.580 and 29.39±4.58, respectively ($P=0.8$). It indicates that both groups were matched, regarding age and BMI.

The mean vitamin D level before intervention in placebo and case groups was 15.83±2.509 and 15.06±3.307 ng/ml, respectively ($P=0.24$). The mean vitamin D level after intervention in placebo and case groups was 14.91±3.13 and 49.77±15.73 ng/ml, respectively ($P<0.001$). As demonstrated in Table 1, significant difference was seen between two groups, regarding TG, ALP and creatinine, before intervention ($P<0.05$). In
this regard, the mean TG and ALP in control group was significantly less than case group. However, the mean creatinine in control group was significantly higher than case group.

As shown in Table 2, significant difference was seen between two groups in terms of HbA1c and ALT after intervention ($P<0.01$). In this regard, the mean ALT and HbA1c in case group was significantly less than control group.

As shown in Table 3, there was no significant difference between two groups, regarding used medication including metformin ($P=1$), gliclazide ($P=0.364$), sitagliptine ($P=0.359$), acarbose ($P=1$), pioglitazone ($P=1$) and repaglinide ($P=1$).

**Discussion**

In current study the status of vitamin D in patients with diabetes was evaluated and mean level of vitamin D in case and control groups before intervention was reported as 15.06±3.307 and 15.83±2.509 ng/ml. It indicates that the mean level of vitamin D in type 2 diabetic patients was insufficient. Thnc et al. (2011) evaluated the status of vitamin D in children and adolescent with diabetes and reported that 43% of diabetic patients have vitamin D insufficiency. It has been reported that the incidence of vitamin D deficiency or insufficiency varies from 70 to 90% (Laway et al., 2010; Athanassiou et al., 2013; Mezza et al., 2012; Brijesh and Saurav, 2014). The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in diabetic patients in South India was 84% (Modi et al., 2015). Saedisomeolia et al. (2014) reported that prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in diabetic patients was 58.34%. It seems that vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency was common in patients with T2DM.

The level of ALT in current study was significantly decreased after treatment with vitamin D. In addition, the mean ALP before and after intervention with vitamin D was 195.7±63.8 and 170±64.3 U/l, respectively. This seems that vitamin D reduced ALP level. Hariri and zohdi (2019) in a randomized double blind clinical trial study observed that vitamin D therapy decreases the level of liver enzymes including ALT and aspartate aminotransferase. Tavakoli et al. (2019) observed the useful effect of vitamin D on ALT level. It is believed that vitamin D supplementation may improve biomarkers of liver function in adolescents. Given that liver is a main organ in metabolism of vitamin D, the inverse relation between liver enzymes and vitamin D may be due to decreased 25-a hydroxylation of vitamin D in the liver (Shehata and Qayyum, 2016). Vitamin D may indirectly influence hepatocytes via activating or inhibiting nonparenchymal liver cells such as sinusoidal endothelial cells, Kupffer cells and stellate cells which may change hepatocyte milieu (Shehata and Qayyum, 2016).

### TABLE 3: Drug used between case and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Placebo N=40</th>
<th>Case N=40</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>39 (97.5)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliclazide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14 (35)</td>
<td>19 (47.5)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26 (65)</td>
<td>21 (52.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>39 (97.5)</td>
<td>36 (90)</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acarbose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>36 (90)</td>
<td>36 (90)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioglitazone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>39 (97.5)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repaglinide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td>39 (97.5)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Foroughi et al. (2014) evaluated the effect of vitamin D (50000 IU/week) on liver enzymes and observed no changing in liver enzyme levels.

In present study, vitamin D supplementation did not affect FBS, but decreased HbA1c in these patients. Lips et al. (2017) did not observe significant effects of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control. Mariam et al. (2019) found no relation between vitamin D level and glycemic status. The finding of this study was consistent with our study (Akha et al, 2015). assessed the effect of vitamin D on glycemic control in diabetic patients with moderate and severe vitamin D deficiency. They observed that vitamin D therapy did not affect serum glucose level, which was consistent with our study. It seems that fasting blood glucose in diabetic individuals undergoes significant changes on different days and even different hours in a day and is not a good indicator for assessing the state of diabetes control (Sheikhpour et al., 2011).

Buhary et al. (2017) assessed relation between HbA1c and serum vitamin D level and observed inverse relation between them. The finding of this study was consistent with our study. It seems that vitamin D through increasing activity of pancreatic beta cells may prevent the increases of glycosylated hemoglobin. The effects of vitamin D on synthesis and secretion of insulin was also seen in some studies (Calle et al., 2008). Fondjo et al. (2017) observed that low level of vitamin D was associated with impaired sensitivity of insulin. It operates as a ligand for vitamin D receptor (Eftekhari et al., 2011). This receptor regulates gene expression as a vitamin D-dependent transcription factor. It exerts this action by binding of promoter regions of target genes (Calle et al., 2008). Saedisomeolia et al. (2014) reported that vitamin D has direct and indirect effects on insulin secretion, insulin resistance and β-cell function via both genomic and non-genomic ways. Eftekhari et al. (2011) reported that the direction of changes in serum insulin and HbA1c was similar. Limitation of our study was that serum insulin level was not measured. Therefore, in our study, insulin secretion may have been affected by vitamin D. But Mousa et al. (2015) reported that the use of high-dose vitamin D supplementation did not affect insulin sensitivity or insulin secretion in overweight or obese adults in vitamin D-deficient status. They concluded that vitamin D supplementation could not be considered as an effective strategy for decreasing diabetes risk even in vitamin D-deficient status. It seems that dosage of medication, type of vitamin D (oral or infusion), duration of usage, status of vitamin D and BMI may be influential factors on insulin secretion and diabetes status.

Moreover, we did not observe significant difference between case and control groups, regarding lipid profile after intervention. However, the mean level of LDL before and after intervention was 102.76±48.8 and 87.77±39.63, respectively. The mean level of TG before and after intervention was 193.15±82.70 and 152.27±55.78, respectively. It seems vitamin D decreases LDL and TG. Saedisomeolia et al. (2014) assessed the status of lipid profiles in diabetic patients and reported inverse relation between serum level of vitamin D and TG. It is believed that vitamin D increases the activity of lipoprotein lipase in status of adiposity. John et al. (2005) conducted a study on Bangladeshi adults with no history of diabetes and did not observe any relation between 25(OH) D and TG or HDL cholesterol. Duration of diabetes disease may be the reason of difference between studies. (Nouri Saeidliou et al, 2017) reported that the level of serum vitamin D is different in two seasons. Moreover, there was significant relation between vitamin D and lipid profiles including cholesterol, LDL and HDL in terms of seasons (Saedisomeolia et al., 2014). It has been reported that vitamin D deficiency was associated with increased risk of dyslipidemias, particularly in men. This association may differ by genders. However, mechanism that vitamin D affects lipid profile is not clear. Some studies proposed that increasing intestinal calcium absorption could decrease the synthesis and secretion of hepatic triglyceride (Wang et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2005). Vitamin D can inhibit synthesis and secretion of triglyceride via stimulating of absorption of intestinal calcium. It has been suggested that increased level of intestinal calcium decreases absorption of fatty acid from intestine due to insoluble calcium-fatty complexes formation (Wang et al, 2016; Christensen et al., 2009).

In addition, vitamin D therapy did not significantly decrease creatinine and BUN level compared to placebo in our study. Bently et al. (2013) assessed relation between vitamin D and serum creatinine level and observed no relation between them, which was consistent with our study. Kim et al. (2018) assessed the mean level of creatinine and BUN in patients with vitamin D insufficiency and vitamin D deficiency and observed significant difference between two groups, considering creatinine
and BUN. In this regard, they observed relation between vitamin D deficiency and increased urine microalbumin/creatinine ratio. Therefore, findings in this regard are controversy and further studies should be conducted.

**Conclusion**

According to these findings, the use of vitamin D in diabetic patients decreased HbA1C and hepatic ALT and did not adversely affect the FBS, lipid profile, AST, ALP and renal components. Therefore, it seems that vitamin D supplementation could be helpful in improving diabetes complications.

**Conflict of interest**

There is no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgment**

This paper is extracted from MD thesis at Kashan University of Medical Sciences. Authors would like to give their gratitude from Kashan University of Medical Sciences for financial support.

**References**


Jafari T. Effects of vitamin D on serum lipid profile in patients with type 2 diabetes: a metaanalysis of randomized


Sheikhpour R, Jalali BA, Yaghmaei P, Afkhami-Ardekani M,


